

SEPTEMBER

NINTH YEAR — No. 102

# International Review of the Red Cross



*Inter arma caritas*

1969

PROPERTY OF U.S. ARMY  
THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL'S SCHOOL  
LIBRARY

GENEVA  
INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS  
FOUNDED IN 1863

## INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS

MARCEL NAVILLE, Master of Arts, *President* (member since 1967)

JACQUES CHENEVIÈRE, Hon. Doctor of Literature, *Honorary Vice-President* (1919)

MARTIN BODMER, Hon. Doctor of Philosophy (1940)

PAUL RUEGGER, former Swiss Minister to Italy and the United Kingdom, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration, The Hague (1948)

RODOLFO OLGATI, Hon. Doctor of Medicine, former Director of the Don Suisse (1949)

MARGUERITE GAUTIER-VAN BERCHEM, former Head of Section, Central Prisoners of War Agency (1951)

FRÉDÉRIC SIORDET, Lawyer, Counsellor to the International Committee of the Red Cross from 1943 to 1951, (1951)

GUILLAUME BORDIER, Certificated Engineer E.P.F., M.B.A. Harvard, Banker (1955)

HANS BACHMANN, Doctor of Laws, Assistant Secretary-General to the International Committee of the Red Cross from 1944 to 1946, *Vice-President* (1958)

JACQUES FREYMOND, Doctor of Literature, Director of the Graduate Institute of International Studies, Professor at the University of Geneva, *Vice-President* (1959)

DIETRICH SCHINDLER, Doctor of Laws, Professor at the University of Zurich (1961)

SAMUEL A. GONARD, former Army Corps Commander, (1961)

HANS MEULI, Doctor of Medicine, Brigade Colonel, former Director of the Swiss Army Medical Service (1961)

MARJORIE DUVILLARD, former Directress of "Le Bon Secours" Nursing School (1961)

MAX PETITPIERRE, Doctor of Laws, former President of the Swiss Confederation (1961)

ADOLPHE GRAEDEL, former member of the Swiss National Council; Secretary-General of the International Metal Workers Federation (1965)

DENISE BINDSCHEDLER-ROBERT, Doctor of Laws, Professor at the Graduate Institute of International Studies (1967)

JACQUES F. DE ROUGEMONT, Doctor of Medicine (1967)

ROGER GALLOPIN, Doctor of Laws (1967)

JEAN PICTET, Doctor of Laws (1967)

WALDEMAR JUCKER, Doctor of Laws, Secretary, Union syndicale suisse (1967)

*Honorary members:* Miss LUCIE ODIER, *Honorary Vice-President*; Messrs FRÉDÉRIC BARBEY, CARL J. BURCKHARDT, PAUL CARRY, Miss SUZANNE FERRIÈRE, Messrs. ÉDOUARD de HALLER, PAUL LOGOZ, ALFREDO VANNOTTI, ADOLF VISCHER.

### *Directorate :*

ROGER GALLOPIN, Director-General

JEAN PICTET, Director-General

CLAUDE PILLOUD, Director

# INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF THE RED CROSS

NINTH YEAR — No. 102

SEPTEMBER 1969

---

## CONTENTS

	Page
J. PICTET: The need to restore the Laws and Customs relating to armed Conflicts . . . . .	459

\*

## INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS

\*

## EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES

Nigeria - Middle East - People's Republic of South Yemen - Arab Republic of the Yemen - North Yemen - Laos - North America - Hungary . . . . .	484
--	-----

\*

## IN GENEVA

Appointment . . . . .	491
Annual Report 1968 . . . . .	491

\*

To the Help of Victims of the Honduras-Salvador Conflict	493
--	-----

\*

## IN THE RED CROSS WORLD

Brazil . . . . .	497
Burma . . . . .	497
Pakistan . . . . .	501
Peru . . . . .	503

\*

## MISCELLANEOUS

International Education Year . . . . .	504
Social Welfare Policy for the Disabled . . . . .	506
A Land of Refuge . . . . .	508

\*

## BOOKS AND REVIEWS

\*

## FRENCH EDITION OF THE REVIEW

The French edition of this Review is issued every month under the title of *Revue internationale de la Croix-Rouge*. It is, in principle, identical with the English edition and may be obtained under the same conditions.

\*

## SUPPLEMENTS TO THE REVIEW

\*

### SPANISH

- C. Pilloud: Los Convenios de Ginebra — Un aniversario importante — 1949-1969 — En socorro de las víctimas del conflicto Honduras-El Salvador.

### GERMAN

- C. Pilloud: Die Genfer Abkommen — Ein denkwürdiger Jahrestag — 1949-1969 — Ein Henry-Dunant-Museum in Heiden.

## THE INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF THE RED CROSS

*is published each month by the  
International Committee of the Red Cross*

7, avenue de la Paix, 1211 Geneva I, Switzerland  
Postal Cheque No. 12.1767

Annual subscription: Sw. fr. 25. — (\$6)  
Single copies Sw. fr. 2.50 (\$0.60)

Editor : J.-G. LOSSIER

The International Committee of the Red Cross assumes  
responsibility only for material over its own signature.

# **THE NEED TO RESTORE THE LAWS AND CUSTOMS RELATING TO ARMED CONFLICTS <sup>1</sup>**

**by Jean Pictet**

## **1. Law of Geneva and Law of The Hague**

Socrates recommended that one should begin a dissertation by defining one's terms.

For some time now, the name, "humanitarian law", has been used to describe the large body of public international law derived from humanitarian sentiments and centred upon the protection of the individual.

The term has both a broad and a narrow sense. In the broad sense, international humanitarian law consists of those rules of international conventional and customary law which ensure respect for the individual and promote his development to the fullest possible extent compatible with law and order and, in time of war, with military necessities. This fundamental principle is the result of a compromise between two conflicting notions: the humanist in us requires all action to be directed towards the welfare of the individual; yet human nature gives rise to painful necessities that justify certain restraints in order to maintain social order and a certain amount of violence in the extreme case of war. Humanitarian law comprises two branches: the law of war and the law of human rights..

---

<sup>1</sup> This article appeared in *The Review of the International Commission of Jurists*, Geneva, March 1969, No. 1.

Since the law of human rights does not come within the scope of this study, it will be enough merely to mention that its purpose is to ensure that individuals enjoy fundamental rights and freedoms and are protected against social evils. The main distinction between such rights and the law of war is that they are independent of the state of conflict. Two dates are significant in this respect: 1948, when the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was proclaimed, and 1950, when the European Convention on Human Rights was signed.

The law of war also has a broad and a narrow sense. In the broad sense its purpose is to regulate warfare and attenuate its rigours in so far as military necessities permit. Its principle demands that the suffering inflicted by belligerents shall not be disproportionate to the object of war, which is to destroy or weaken the military power of the enemy.

The law of war may also be divided into two branches: the law of The Hague and the law of Geneva.

The law of The Hague, or the law of war strictly speaking, lays down the rights and duties of belligerents in conducting operations and limits the methods of warfare.

This law is, by and large, the result of the Hague Conventions, 1899, revised in 1907. It does not of course include the extremely important rules established at Geneva in 1929 and 1949, concerning the status of prisoners of war, the status of the wounded and shipwrecked in sea warfare, and the status of civilians in occupied territories.

The law of The Hague, however, also includes conventions that do not bear the name of that city, such as the Declaration of St. Petersburg, 1868, prohibiting the use of explosive bullets, and the Geneva Protocol, 1925, prohibiting the use of poisonous gas and bacteriological or similar means of warfare. For its part, the law of Geneva, or humanitarian law in its strict sense, is designed to ensure respect, protection and humane treatment of war casualties and non-combatants.

Since 1949, the law of Geneva has been given concrete form in four Conventions of the same name. This legal edifice is the most recent as well as the most complete codification of rules protecting the individual in armed conflicts. At present it doubtless represents, at least in volume, three-quarters of the law of war.

The Geneva Conventions were drawn up for the direct benefit of the individual and, as a general rule, they do not give States rights against him, as opposed to the laws of war which, though designed for the protection of the individual, often achieve that end by indirect means and are also designed to regulate operations. These laws, therefore, are still based to some extent on military necessities; the Geneva Conventions, on the other hand, ushered in a new era in which the individual and humanitarian principles are paramount.

Although the Geneva Conventions have been carefully revised, developed and adapted to changing circumstances, the law of The Hague has remained in a state of neglect often called chaotic. While techniques of warfare have made gigantic strides in half a century, especially during the two World Wars, most of the rules of war go back as far as 1907, a time at which aviation bombardment was unknown. This is the alarming problem which faces the world today and which must be solved.

## **2. Origins of the Law of War**

Since the birth of life, creature has fought creature. Throughout the centuries men have groaned under the sword and the yoke. The pages of history are stained with blood. Massacres, torture and oppression are to be seen at every turn.

Freud showed that man's two main instincts, that of self-preservation and that of destruction, though apparently opposed, are at times linked. The instinct of self-preservation must resort to aggression if it is to triumph. Man will therefore seek to kill, and to make others suffer as a result, in order to increase by that much more his own chances of survival. In his fellow, man first sees a rival.

Among animals, the strong oppress the weak, just as for thousands of years men obviously did the same. Later, the defence reaction was extended to the group.

To make community life possible, society had to be organized. Since it was impossible to change man's nature, his instincts had to be curbed and he compelled to accept reasonable solutions. The group, by a decisive revolution, thus established a social order based on certain moral rules.

Society also gave authorities power to enforce these rules, which would otherwise have been a dead letter. This is the origin of law and public institutions.

At the same time, however, the power given had to be limited, since the State, though its ultimate objective is the development of the individual, is likely to crush him in the process. Consequently, it was necessary to guarantee the individual certain fundamental rights that he demands for himself and that he may therefore recognize for others. This gave birth to the principle of respect for the individual—respect for his life, liberty and happiness.

This vast and gradual evolution, long confined within the boundaries of each State, eventually reached the level of international relations, where law soon came to grips with war itself. Since it could not stamp out war at one fell swoop, it attempted at least to mitigate its unnecessary rigours. The mutual interests of the belligerents led them to observe, in conducting hostilities, certain “rules of the game”, while philosophers and religions strove to improve morals. These are the origins of the law of war, which forms a very important part of public international law. Needless to say, this conquest was as difficult to achieve in the international as it was in the domestic field. Moreover, it is far from being completed.

In early societies, war was merely the deadly triumph of the stronger. Battles were followed by slaughter. The defeated, including women and children, were at the mercy of the victor, who slew or enslaved them.

However, even primitive communities had some rules for lessening the horrors of conflicts; these were the embryos of the law of war.<sup>1</sup> Man understood that if he wished to be spared, he would have to begin by sparing others.

He recognized that in life there are more advantages in coming to terms with his fellow men than in mutual destruction. Gradually, under the influence of civilization and moral or religious doctrines, progress was made. Some monarchs set examples by showing clemency.

However, in the Middle Ages the fate of the vanquished and the civilian population was still far from enviable. In 313, the red-letter

---

<sup>1</sup> Quincy Wright: *A Study of War*, 1942.



day of the Edict of Milan, the Church became a major temporal power overnight. Among its many consequences, this merging of Church and State led the ecclesiastical authorities to justify war. As this attitude disturbed many men who believed that the spilling of blood was a crime, and a crime condemned by the Scriptures, Saint Augustine formulated at the beginning of the fifth century the famous and baneful theory of the "just war" intended to allay men's consciences for a small price by an unedifying compromise between the moral ideal of the Church and its political expediencies: as a result, mankind's advance was checked for centuries. That theory, briefly, was that a war waged by the legitimate sovereign is a war willed by God and that acts of violence committed in its cause cease to be a sin. The adversary, consequently, is God's enemy and his war can only be unjust.<sup>1</sup>

The most serious consequence of this conception is that the "just" could allow themselves virtually any action against the "unjust". Their acts were never crimes but punishment of the guilty. Obviously, however, each party maintained that its cause alone was just. And under the hypocritical cloak of righteousness, both vied against each other in committing massacres. The Crusades—those "just wars" *par excellence*—afford the most lamentable example.

The 16th century saw the rise of "natural law", the advocates of which condemned useless suffering. The Reformation then split Christianity in two. Another principle of unity had therefore to be found for international relations: this was supplied by the law of nations. Grotius, who has been called the "father of the law of nations", maintained that law was no longer the expression of divine justice but of human reason. He did not free himself however of the bonds of the "just war". He still accepted that the entire population of the hostile nation was an enemy and at the mercy of the victor. And at that time, the Thirty Years War unleashed its flood of miseries.<sup>2</sup>

At last the scientific spirit woke. Life was no longer considered a mere stage on the road to the hereafter but an end in itself, and society took its destiny into its own hands. The "enlightenment"

<sup>1</sup> G. I. A. D. Draper: *The Conception of the Just War*.

<sup>2</sup> Henri Coursier: *Etudes sur la formation du droit humanitaire*, Geneva, 1952.

gave birth to humanitarianism, an advanced and rational form of charity and justice. The aim now was to secure the greatest happiness for the greatest number.

Great strides were then made, at least in Europe, in limiting the evils of war. Cartels—agreements concluded between the heads of armies—established the treatment to which the victims of war were entitled. These were often models of moderation. It was recognized, for example, that the peaceful population should not be molested. The repetition of such agreements created customary law, which received all the support of 18th century philosophers, particularly Jean-Jacques Rousseau. During that enlightened period, kings sometimes gave heed to philosophers.

In a famous passage of his *Social Contract*, Rousseau took to task the ancient sophism of the just war and replaced it at last by the welcome and fruitful distinction, between combatants and non-combatants.

For war is a means—the final means—whereby one State bends another to its will by using the necessary coercion to obtain that result. Any violence not essential to that purpose is useless; it then becomes only cruel and stupid.

These principles were taken up by the French Revolution. At the same time, however, military service became compulsory and men no longer fought only for bread but also for ideas. Mass wars were born, wars in which entire nations, after having mustered all their material and emotional resources, are pitted against each other. The era of “total wars” began, which was to bring a substantial retrogression in human values. The situation of the victims of war was hardly improved during the second half of the 19th century. It was then that Henry Dunant made his moving appeal that led to the birth of the Red Cross in 1863 and the conclusion the following year of the first Geneva Convention for the protection of war casualties.

This Convention had a decisive influence on the development of the law of nations: on that date States agreed to sacrifice part of their sovereignty for the welfare of mankind. The impact of this event led to the conclusion of the other Geneva Conventions and the Hague Conventions. It may even be said that all present efforts to solve conflicts peacefully and outlaw war also spring indirectly from that movement.

Thus it was that Gustave Moynier, President of the Founding Committee of the Red Cross, could say in 1864 about the first Geneva Convention just concluded: "To take this road is to make a decisive step; one step will inevitably lead to another until it will be impossible to stop... future generations will see the gradual disappearance of war. An infallible logic will have it so." Let us accept the omen.

### 3. The Peace Conferences <sup>1</sup>

Although all humanitarian law springs from the great creative impetus given at Geneva in 1864, the first chapter of what would later come to be known as the law of The Hague was written at St. Petersburg in 1868. Alarmed by the invention of the explosive bullet, Alexander II, the Tsar who abolished serfdom, convened at St. Petersburg a conference for the purpose of "alleviating as much as possible the calamities of war". It resulted, on 11th December 1868, in the Declaration of St. Petersburg, a treaty which is binding, even today, on seventeen States. It abolished "any projectile of a weight below 400 grammes, which is either explosive or charged with fulminating or inflammable substances".

What gives a profound significance to the Declaration, however, is that its Preamble formulated straightaway, and with remarkable accuracy, the fundamental principle of the law of war. It reads:

Considering... that the sole legitimate object which States should endeavour to accomplish during war is to weaken the military forces of the enemy;

That for this purpose it is sufficient to disable the greatest possible number of men;

That this object would be exceeded by the employment of arms which uselessly aggravate the sufferings of disabled men or render their death inevitable;

That the employment of such arms would, therefore be contrary to the laws of humanity...

Another fact worthy of mention is that the Powers agreed to work together in the future with a view to prohibiting the use of inhumane weapons. It is a fact that might well be recalled today.

---

<sup>1</sup> The author here is indebted to the work of Pierre Boissier: *Histoire du Comité International de la Croix-Rouge*, Paris, 1963.

A few years later the Russian Government submitted to the other governments a "Draft International Convention concerning the Laws and Customs of War" and invited them to send delegates to a meeting at Brussels on 27th July 1874. The main problem was to define combatants by determining who is entitled to take part in the fighting. Here the Brussels Conference drew up its famous four conditions that were later to be incorporated, word for word, in the Regulations respecting the Laws and Customs of War. In relation to bombardments, the Brussels Declaration stipulated that undefended towns or villages should not be attacked; this was the future basis of the Hague Convention. The Brussels Declaration, however, has never had the force of law since no State ratified it.

It is a well known fact that such eminent men as Francis Lieber, Johann Bluntschli and Gustave Moynier had a decisive influence on the development of the present-day law of nations. Moynier wrote the "Manual of Laws of Land Warfare", which the Institute of International Law adopted at Oxford in 1880 under the name of the "Oxford Manual". This manual, which formulates the principles of the law of war with unprecedented logic and clarity, has been a model for many national military regulations.

In August 1898, a piece of news came like a thunderclap: Nicholas II, continuing the tradition, proposed an international conference for the purpose of "putting an end to the incessant armaments and seeking ways of preventing the disasters which threaten the entire world". This programme was so vast that it raised great hopes and there was already talk of a new era in the history of mankind. A further Russian note restored matters to their proper proportions: the idea was not to achieve general disarmament but only to check the arms race and to prohibit new weapons.

The ground work having been prepared by the newly-formed Inter-Parliamentary Union, the Conference opened at The Hague on 18th May 1899. Though it soon abandoned the attempt to limit armaments, it did lay down three prohibitions: against projectiles launched from air-borne balloons, poisonous gas and expanding or flattening ("dum-dum") bullets. The first two means of warfare, moreover, were merely forerunners of worse to come.

The main task of the first Peace Conference, however, was the establishment of "Regulations respecting the Laws and Customs of

War on Land", which was based largely on the Brussels Declaration and the Oxford Manual. In this respect, the Conference introduced little that was not already contained in the military regulations of the major Powers. As Professor A. de la Pradelle has pointed out, difficult and controversial questions are often evaded and an easy agreement reached on matters that have long been settled in practice. The value of the efforts made at The Hague towards codification, however, should not be underestimated as they have had considerable influence on the development of the law of nations.

In its final Act, the assembly recommended that a second Peace Conference should be held in order to complete the work begun, particularly in the field of sea warfare. This Conference was held eight years later, on 15th June 1907, also at The Hague, this time on the initiative of the President of the United States.

The three existing Conventions were revised, particularly the first relating to the peaceful settlement of conflicts, and a draft procedure for their prevention—arbitration—was introduced. Two of the three declarations were retained: those concerning dum-dum bullets and balloon-launched projectiles. Of the new Conventions, one related to the commencement of hostilities and another to the rights and duties of neutrals. The other eight were devoted to sea warfare, which was the main work of the Conference. Another significant accomplishment was the famous *Marten's clause* in the Preamble to Convention IV, which states that "until a more complete code of the laws of war can be drawn up. . . the inhabitants and the belligerents remain under the protection and governance of the principles of the laws of nations, derived from the usages established among civilized peoples, from the laws of humanity and from the dictates of the public conscience". This declaration shows that the Hague rules were first of all the expression of customary law, the value of which goes beyond the letter and places them, in a sense, outside time.

It had always been intended to hold another diplomatic conference in order to develop the Hague Conventions and adapt them to current needs. But two World Wars, with their interminable wake of suffering, took place without the plenipotentiaries having met for that purpose. Who will take the initiative to convene the third Peace Conference? Who will take up the torch?

#### **4. Protection of the Civilian Population Against the Dangers of Indiscriminate Warfare**

The International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) was the body responsible for promoting and maintaining the law of Geneva and not that of The Hague, although it was the first Geneva Conference of 1864 that gave the initial impetus to the overall work, while Dunant and particularly Moynier contributed to the establishment of the Hague rules.

However, in the face of the enormous dangers to which civilian populations were exposed because of the considerable developments in means of warfare and seeing that neither governments nor the League of Nations were taking any action, the ICRC raised its voice here too and made proposals for the prevention of such dangers. In doing so, it stepped outside the framework of the Geneva Conventions. It did so deliberately because of the fundamental human interests at stake and it believes that in so doing it remained faithful to its duty.

As early as the end of the first World War, it submitted to the first Assembly of the League of Nations a series of suggestions for outlawing certain methods of warfare that had been used in 1914-1918. It recommended, in particular, that the use of poisonous gas as well as aviation bombing against the civilian population should be prohibited and that the notion of "undefended localities" should be defined so as to ensure stricter observance. In 1921, the 10th International Conference of the Red Cross invited governments to conclude agreements on these lines in order to complete the Fourth Convention of The Hague. A Commission of Jurists of The Hague, set up by the Washington Conference, drew up a code for limiting air-raids, but it was not ratified by the Powers. Therefore, from 1928 to 1931, the ICRC held meetings of four commissions of international experts, jurists and scientists, whose task was to find ways of protecting the civilian population against chemical and biological warfare and against air warfare in general. In 1931, the ICRC submitted the conclusions of those commissions to the first Conference for the Reduction and Limitation of Armaments convened under the auspices of the League of Nations. It addressed an appeal to that Conference requesting the prohibition, pure and simple, of air-raids against populated areas.

On the failure of these attempts, the ICRC redirected its efforts towards the possibility of creating "hospital and safety zones and localities". In 1938, the 16th International Conference of the Red Cross appealed to governments to limit their bombardments. The same year, at last, the Assembly of the League of Nations adopted a resolution condemning international bombardment of the civilian population and recalling the precautions to be taken to spare non-combatants in attacks against military objectives. Regrettably, that resolution was to remain a dead letter.

For shortly after, the second World War broke out. Foreseeing the disaster that was about to befall defenceless populations, the ICRC addressed a solemn appeal to governments on 12th March 1940, asking them, in particular, to confirm general immunity for peaceful populations, to define their military objectives, and to refrain from indiscriminate bombardments and reprisals. Although fourteen Powers, including the principal belligerents, endorsed that appeal, none applied it in practice. It was followed by another on 12th May 1940 and by reminders on 23rd July and 13th December 1943, also without success.

The ravages caused by the second World War left the world stunned. While the first World War had caused 10 million deaths, including half a million civilians, the second killed 50 million persons—26 million combatants and 24 million civilians. Of that number, according to the most cautious estimates, a million and a half civilians were killed in air-raids, not counting the great number disabled for the rest of their lives. Men had looked on helplessly while death and destruction were rampant, and the means of warfare irreversibly became more and more "total"—starting with conventional bombardments, going on to blitzkriegs and the V2 rockets, and ending with the terrifying explosion of the atomic bomb, in a second changing the face of the world.

It is realized now, somewhat late, that the massive bombardments of cities did not "pay" from the military standpoint. Such bombardments were not justified either morally, legally, or even from a practical point of view. Most jurists now consider that the use of the atomic bomb is contrary to law.

On 5th September 1945, shortly after the nightmare of Hiroshima, the ICRC sent a circular letter to National Red Cross Socie-

ties drawing their attention to the alarming questions created for the world by that unprecedented event. It was thus the first international institution to raise its voice against nuclear weapons.

In August 1949, government delegates signed four Geneva Conventions. One of them, the fourth, was entirely new and filled a great gap, the painful effects of which had long been felt: the protection of the civilian. It must be noted, however, that the Fourth Geneva Convention protects civilians only against abuses of power by the enemy authority. It does not come within the sphere of the law of war and the use of weapons, with the important exception of the provisions protecting hospitals against all attacks.

Moreover, since the War nuclear physics has steadily pursued its alarming discoveries. At present, a single thermonuclear bomb would annihilate a large city, and the major Powers possess enough to wipe out life on earth. Although demolished cities have been rebuilt, governments have done nothing to re-establish the rules of The Hague, many of which are buried under those ruins.

As early as 5th April 1950, immediately after the new Geneva Convention had been signed, the ICRC requested governments to make every possible effort to prohibit the use of atomic and indiscriminate ("blind")<sup>1</sup> weapons. The governments remained silent, however, and the ICRC, with the help of experts, drew up "Draft Rules to Limit the Risks Incurred by the Civilian Population in Time of War". This draft Convention, which was submitted to the 19th International Conference of the Red Cross at New Delhi in 1957, no longer aimed at prohibiting a specific weapon but at outlawing means and methods of warfare that unduly hit non-combatants. It led to a noteworthy publication of the ICRC.

The Conference, at which governments were represented, merely gave its basic approval of the draft Convention and asked the ICRC to transmit it to the various governments. These, however, having received it, proved unwilling to conclude on that basis a Convention with the force of law.

Undiscouraged, the ICRC submitted the question again to the 20th International Conference of the Red Cross held at Vienna in 1965. That Conference recognized at least certain principles that

---

<sup>1</sup> See annex to this article.



should always be observed in order to ensure innocent populations a minimum of protection. In this connection, it adopted an important resolution earnestly requesting the ICRC to carry on its efforts to guarantee the protection of the civilian population.

Encouraged by this significant success, on 19th May 1967, the ICRC sent a circular to all States parties to the Geneva and Hague Conventions, together with a memorandum suggesting that every effort should be made to secure official approval of the four principles which formed the basis of the Vienna resolution.

In a more general context, the memorandum then went on to raise the question of restoring the law of war:

The observance of rules destined, in case of armed conflicts, to safeguard essential human values being in the interest of civilization, it is of vital importance that they be clear and that their application give rise to no controversy. This requirement is, however, by no means entirely satisfied. A large part of the law relating to the conduct of hostilities was codified as long ago as 1907; in addition, the complexity of certain conflicts sometimes places in jeopardy the application of the Geneva Conventions.

No one can remain indifferent to this situation which is detrimental to civilian populations as well as to the other victims of war. The International Committee would greatly value information on what measures Governments contemplate to remedy this situation and in order to facilitate their study of the problem it has the honour to submit herewith an appropriate note.

The "note" was a *summary review of the international law rules concerning the protection of civilian populations against the dangers of indiscriminate warfare*—a kind of stock-taking of the rules still in force. Since the questions dealt with would undoubtedly form the main part of a programme for reaffirming and developing the laws and customs relating to conflicts, the Note is reproduced in an annex to this article.

However, the ICRC circular of 19th May 1967 did not produce the reactions from governments that were hoped for. Nevertheless, the idea is in the air, as is evidenced, even outside the Red Cross, by the appeal made by the International Commission of Jurists<sup>1</sup> and

---

<sup>1</sup> *Editor's Note:* see Press Release of the International Commission of Jurists on "Human Rights in Armed Conflicts: Vietnam" of 7th March 1968.

by Resolution No. XXIII of the International Conference on Human Rights held at Teheran in May 1968.<sup>1</sup> This is a source for new hope.

## 5. Domestic Conflicts

Another major problem remains to be solved: how to ensure that the rules of the law of nations, or at least their essential principles, will be applied in conflicts that are not international, i.e. in civil wars and internal disorders.<sup>2</sup>

This is an urgent humanitarian need. Civil wars proportionately cause more suffering than international wars because of their desperate nature and because of the hatred they engender. Those engaged in the struggle know the men they are fighting against and have personal reasons for bearing them ill-will. In struggles between foreign nations, on the other hand, how many soldiers know the men they are sent to kill? Certainly very few.

The attitude underlying civil wars could hardly be described better than by quoting Vitellius's dreadful remark on the battlefield of Bedriac, reported by Suetonius. When one of his soldiers remarked that the bodies of the enemies, having remained for days without being buried, smelt bad, Vitellius replied: "The body of an enemy always smells good and it smells even better when he is a fellow-countryman!"

In reality, no one thought until comparatively recently that the law of nations would have to be applied in revolts against the established order, which were regularly bathed in blood.

It was Vattel, a jurist of the 18th century from Neuchâtel, who put forward for the first time, and very timidly at that, the notion that humanitarian principles should be applied to rebels. Less than twenty years later a great hope was born: during the American war of independence, both parties observed legal and humanitarian rules. Unfortunately, that hope was short-lived: other civil wars were branded by atrocious massacres. Despite the deadly nature of the American Civil War, law was not entirely ignored because of two

---

<sup>1</sup> The Resolution of 19th December 1968 was adopted unanimously by the General Assembly. This embodies the Teheran Resolution and restates the broad principles set forth by the XXth International Conference of the Red Cross at Vienna in 1965.

<sup>2</sup> See Jean Siotis: *Le droit de la guerre et les conflits armés d'un caractère non-international*, 1958.

outstanding men, Abraham Lincoln and his legal adviser, Francis Lieber. But during civil wars that followed, men once again resorted to cruelty and slaughter.

It was then that the Red Cross entered the lists. For it, there are no legitimate or illegitimate wars: there are only victims to be helped. Blood is the same colour everywhere and always.

After considerable resistance, it was finally acknowledged that the Red Cross had a duty to intervene in such conflicts. The most typical case was the Spanish Civil War of 1936-1939, when the ICRC was able to alleviate some of the suffering caused by the struggle.

This led to the idea of introducing into the Geneva Conventions a bold and paradoxical provision under which a purely national situation would be subject to international law.

There were serious difficulties, however, since such a notion ran counter to the sacrosanct principles of the State's sovereignty and security. Government representatives considered that if a State were obliged to apply humanitarian law in civil war, it would encourage revolts and would be helpless to repress criminal acts of subversion.

After months of discussion, a Diplomatic Conference of 1949 adopted the now famous Article 3, of all four Geneva Conventions, which is a "miniature convention" in itself. It provides that in non-international conflicts all parties must observe a number of essential humanitarian principles, concerning respect for non-combatants, the prohibition of torture, the taking of hostages, and unlawful sentences and executions. These provisions have already enabled the ICRC to intervene in many conflicts.

This is only a first step, however. The Geneva Conventions, moreover, do not cover the entire field of human suffering nor all sectors of the law of war. Modern times are characterized by the rise of political ideologies that aim at subordinating everything to their ends. At the same time, subversive movements that aim at changing the established order, also by violence, have flourished. The result has been extreme tension between States, sometimes called the cold war, and, within States, the existence of factions struggling for one another's destruction. Very often besides, citizens are subject in their own country to emergency laws, deprived of freedom merely because of their opinions, disposed of arbitrarily

and, in the last analysis, treated worse than enemy soldiers captured with weapons in their hands.

In the course of history, law first developed inside human communities. Attempts were then made to extend some of its elements to international wars and afterwards to civil wars. By a strange and surprising reversal, the safeguards afforded to the individual by the law of war now need to be applied in time of peace and to the domestic affairs of nations!

Consequently, there is a growing tendency to consider that the purpose of international law is to ensure a minimum of safeguards and humane treatment for all men in time of peace as in time of war, regardless of whether the individual is in conflict with a foreign nation or with the society to which he belongs. This development will no doubt continue, the ultimate goal being to achieve a uniform status for political prisoners established according to international rules.

Meanwhile, the ICRC is working for the extension to such victims of the principles of the Geneva Conventions. On three occasions already, it has held meetings of internationally known experts, who have drawn up certain fundamental rules for the treatment of political prisoners and established the bases on which the Red Cross may take action for their protection.

In this field, the action of the ICRC goes hand in hand with that of organizations specializing in the protection of human rights. There must not be a no-man's-land in humanitarian action.

### **6. Other Problems**

Since the end of the first World War, the international community has concentrated its efforts on ensuring collective security, maintaining peace and, by prohibiting violence, outlawing war. These efforts, which in 1928 had led to the Briand-Kellogg Pact, found their consecration in the Charter of the United Nations. This is certainly welcome; but a high price has been paid for success. States, though they still war against one another, no longer admit that they are at war and refuse to recognize that the rules of humanitarian law apply although the objective conditions for their application obtain. They thus abuse their discretion, which is far too wide,

to determine the nature of a conflict. It is useless to entertain illusions. Not only is the use of force still legally possible in certain cases but, unfortunately, it is constantly the practice. This is abundantly demonstrated by the fact that the means of warfare are forever being improved and large-scale armies are everywhere maintained. Although men for a long time refused to face this fact, today it cannot be denied; and the General Assembly of the United Nations itself has affirmed, by its resolution of 1967 reminding nations of the prohibition of atomic weapons and chemical warfare, that civilization has a stake in the strict observance of the rules of international law on the conduct of hostilities. It is thus recognized that, until such time as an end has finally been put to war, it must be governed by the Rule of Law and the dictates of humanity. It is in this spirit moreover that UNESCO, as a specialized agency of the United Nations, sponsored the work that led, in 1954, to the Convention relating to the Protection of Cultural Property.

The outlawing of war has had another consequence. The theory of the "just war" has been revived in another form. Basing their stand on the notion of aggression, some would maintain that the victim of aggression is not bound by the same rules of war as the aggressor. Such an attitude must be rejected as far as the rules for the protection of the individual are concerned, for it is essential that humanitarian law should be applied by both sides in every armed conflict. For the same reasons, the emergency forces of the United Nations must also respect the law of war.

It has also been thought that the existence of weapons of mass destruction and "the balance of terror" between the major Powers would contribute to preventing war. And in fact the existence of such weapons has profoundly modified the nature of international relations and has certainly checked the Powers on the road to nuclear war. It is certain, too, that the clouds threatening the world are so dark that every effort made by the United Nations and the Disarmament Commission to prohibit the use of atomic energy for the purposes of war is to be welcomed. But until such time as that is achieved, and that time may still be far distant, the so-called minor and localized conflicts continue to proliferate and to cause countless victims. As a result, it is becoming increasingly clear that, although a nuclear war would seem by its very nature to elude any rules and

regulations, the other forms of war that still exist demand, now more than ever, the reaffirmation of the laws to limit their ravages.

As far as the matters to be dealt with in restoring these laws are concerned, mention has already been made of the most important questions—the protection of civilian populations against the dangers of indiscriminate warfare, the prohibition of the use of certain weapons (even against armed forces) civil wars and guerrilla warfare. These questions, however, do not exhaust the field to be covered.

Despite the difficulties involved, the categories of persons who may commit belligerent acts must be so redefined as to prevent the confusion, repressions and hardship caused by uncertainty. Such a study is essential at a time when partisans, saboteurs and irregular troops take part in ill-defined struggles (guerrilla movements). It is also necessary to reaffirm and define certain essential humanitarian rules that the belligerents must observe in conducting hostilities, such as protection of the enemy who surrenders, the question of giving quarter, the treatment of parachutists, blockades and pillage.

The rules of protection for the inhabitants of occupied territories were already considerably developed in the Fourth Geneva Convention. Similarly, the rights and duties of neutrals were expanded by the Third Geneva Convention, when it established that its provisions were to apply to prisoners of war interned in neutral countries, without prejudice to any more favourable treatment that might be given to them. As for the numerous rules on sea warfare, at times disputed or forgotten, it would be appropriate for experts to examine those that should be reaffirmed or developed in the light of the humanitarian ideals of our times.

Lastly, measures to ensure observance of the law are extremely important. In this respect, reprisals, if they cannot be completely prevented, must at least be limited and checked or irremediable disasters will follow. Machinery should also be provided, in particular, to sanction offenders <sup>1</sup> and to ensure that effective control is exercised by the Protecting Powers.

What conclusions are to be drawn from all this? An essential feature of contemporary times is the upheavals and conflicts that

---

<sup>1</sup> In this connection, it would be well to refer, in particular, to the *Nuremberg Principles* formulated in 1950 by the International Law Commission of the United Nations.

have led to demographic and technological expansion, precipitated the clash of profoundly different ideologies, and brought about the emergence on the world scene of many new States. International "morals", as hitherto conceived, have been weakened as a result, and a large part of the law of nations has been called into question. Although the 20th century has had the merit of proclaiming human rights, it has also witnessed the return of massacres, torture and brutality that mankind, in its hope of progress, had believed were forever banished from the face of the earth. Hatred and fanaticism have shown their face again.

To yield to this "neo-barbarism" would be to abdicate. In reality, although the laws of war are partly inadequate and outdated because they are no longer adapted to present facts, their principles remain valid because they are the expression of an abiding truth. Today as yesterday, certain acts of war must give way to the requirements of humanity. Reason must be master of the inventions of science, and law, although it cannot ignore them, must not exonerate but dominate their effects.

Acts which violate humanitarian principles are not, as they are sometimes presented, ineluctable necessities of war but often expedient solutions that do not pay in the long run and that the parties to the conflict could dispense with without jeopardizing their cause.

Revision of the law of war is urgent. It should be the constant and pressing concern of all men who wish to work, each in his own field and to the best of his ability, towards reconstructing the world in the image of man. Everyone knows that the ICRC, with its long experience, is prepared to assume and devote every effort to that task. No one doubts that public opinion will throw all its enthusiasm into the scale. If the peoples of the world, weary of being manipulated by the blind forces that threaten them, raise their voices and set in motion a ground swell that cannot be stemmed, governments will be forced to sit up and listen. And the battle will be won.

One thing is certain. The law to be built will be accepted and prevail only to the extent that it is founded upon the aspirations of all nations, that it finds within the world community common denominators—in a word, to the extent that it is placed on a universal basis. It depends for its force on its consistency with the

mutual and clear-cut interests of the various nations. What is useful to the majority inevitably triumphs in the end. As Saint-Exupéry said: "In life, there are no solutions. There are forces on the march: they have to be created and the solutions will follow."

Jean PICTET  
Member of the ICRC  
Director-General

\* \* \*

## ANNEX

### **Summary review of international law rules concerning the protection of civilian populations against the dangers of indiscriminate warfare**

The basic rule is laid down in article 22 of the Regulations concerning the Laws and Customs of War on Land, annexed to the Fourth Hague Convention of October 18, 1907, namely: "*the right of belligerents to adopt means of injuring the enemy is not unlimited*". From this principle, still valid and confirmed by the XXth International Conference of the Red Cross, the following rules are derived.

#### **1. Limitation for benefit of persons**

Whilst combatants are the main force of resistance and the obvious target of military operations, non-combatants shall not be subject to and shall not participate in hostilities. It is therefore a generally accepted rule that *belligerents shall refrain from deliberately attacking non-combatants*. This immunity to which the civilian population by and large is entitled—provided it does not participate directly in hostilities—has not been clearly defined by international law, but in spite of many examples of blatant disregard for it, it is still one of the main pillars of the law of war.

In 1965 the International Conference of the Red Cross in Vienna formulated (in its Resolution XXVIII) the following requirement as one of the principles affecting civilians during war and to which governments should conform, viz: "...distinction must be made at all times between persons taking part in the hostilities and members of the civilian population to the effect that the latter be spared as much as possible."

A major rule deriving from the general norm quoted above is that *bombardments directed against the civilian population as such, especially*



*for the purpose of terrorising it, are prohibited.* This rule is widely accepted in the teachings of qualified writers, in attempts at codification and in judicial decisions; in spite of many violations, it has never been contested. The XXth International Conference of the Red Cross, moreover, did not omit to re-state it.

International law does not define the civilian population. Of course, any sections of the population taking part in hostilities could hardly be classified as civilian. The view is general that civilians staying within or in close proximity to military objectives do so at their own risk. But when such people leave objectives which may be attacked and return to their homes they may no longer be subject to attack.

Another rule deriving from the general norm is that *belligerents shall take every precaution to reduce to a minimum the damage inflicted on non-combatants during attacks against military objectives.*

This latter rule is perhaps less widely admitted than those previously mentioned. However, in an official resolution of September 30, 1938, the League of Nations considered it fundamental and it has been given effect in the instructions which many countries have issued to their air forces.

The precautions to which allusion is made would include, for the attacking side, the careful choice and identification of military objectives, precision in attack, abstention from target-area bombing (unless the area is almost exclusively military), respect for and abstention from attack on civil defence organizations: the adversary being attacked would take the precaution of evacuating the population from the vicinity of military objectives.

As can be seen, the obligation incumbent on the attacking forces to take precautions depends in part on the "passive" precautions taken by the opposite side, or, in other words, the practical steps taken by each belligerent to protect its population from consequences of attacks. What is the extent of such an obligation? In some attempts at drafting regulations it has been suggested that bombing attacks should not be carried out if there is strong probability of indiscriminate effect causing the population to suffer. The International Committee of the Red Cross, for its part, proposed, in its appeal of March 12, 1940, that belligerents should recognize the general principle that *an act of destruction shall not involve harm to the civilian population disproportionate to the importance of the military objective under attack.* On a number of occasions, and recently by qualified writers, by experts and by some army manuals of the laws and customs of war, this rule has been re-stated.

## 2. Target limitation

In this connection, the accepted rule is that *attacks may only be directed against military objectives, i.e. those of which the total or partial destruction would be a distinct military advantage.*

There has always been an accepted distinction between the fighting area and the zones behind the lines. This distinction is purely technical in origin, the theatre of operations depending on the ground gained by the advancing troops and the range of weapons. Until the advent of air raids, areas behind the firing lines were in fact immune from hostilities.

This out-dated concept was the basis for the law of conventional warfare, i.e., in the main, articles 25 to 27 of the Regulations annexed to the IVth Hague Convention of 1907. In those articles the word "bombardment" must be construed to mean "shelling"; since that time the aeroplane has made air bombardments possible well behind the lines.

Nowadays, a belligerent's whole territory may be considered a theatre of hostilities. The 1907 rules are still applicable to the fighting area at the front. So far as areas well behind the lines are concerned, they are in part out of date.

Although during the Second World War indiscriminate bombardments wrought widespread havoc, no government has attempted to have the practice recognized as lawful. The contrary has in fact been the case. States have shown a marked tendency to justify their air bombardments as reprisals against an enemy who first had recourse to this method, or, as in the case of the atomic bomb, as an exceptional measure dictated by overriding considerations, such as the saving of human lives by putting an end to the war quickly.

Our first rule of target limitation is not contained in treaty law, but its validity is founded on many official statements, made particularly during the Second World War and the wars of Korea and Vietnam. It has been evolved progressively by analogy with a provision contained in the IXth Hague Convention of 1907; this authorizes naval shelling of certain important military objectives, even if these are situated in undefended towns. The 1949 Geneva Conventions and the 1954 Hague Convention contain several references to the concept of military objective.

Several documents, such as the draft issued by the Commission of government jurists who met in The Hague (December 1922-February 1923) and the Draft Rules drawn up in 1956 by the International Committee of the Red Cross, have suggested definitions or lists of military objectives. It is generally admitted *that an objective is military only if its complete or partial destruction confers a clear military advantage*. It is held, also, *that any attacking force, before bombing an objective, shall identify it and ascertain that it is military*.

There are buildings which cannot under any circumstances be considered as military objectives; they are given the benefit of special immunity under the Geneva Conventions (I, art. 19, IV, art. 18), the Hague Regulations of 1907 (art. 27), and the 1954 Hague Convention relating to the protection of cultural property (art. 4), namely *belligerents will in particular spare charitable, religious, scientific, cultural and artistic establishments as well as historic monuments*. In addition, under the Fourth Geneva Convention, *belligerents may, by special agreement, set up safety*

*or neutralized zones to shelter the civilian population, particularly the weaker members thereof*, in order to provide them, under such agreement, with special protection against the effects of hostilities.

These Conventions stipulate that it is the duty of the authorities to indicate the presence of such buildings and zones by special signs.

Mention must also be made of article 25 of the Regulations annexed to the IVth Hague Convention of 1907, considered for years as one of the fundamentals of the law of war namely: "*The attack or bombardment, by whatever means, of towns, villages, dwellings, or buildings which are undefended is prohibited*". The subsequent development of air warfare has vitiated this provision so far as areas behind the fighting lines are concerned; it is a provision which has been supplanted by the military objective concept. It is nevertheless still valid for ground fighting. When localities offer no resistance, an enemy who is able to take them without a fight shall, in the interest of the population, abstain from attack and useless destruction.

It has become customary to declare towns "open" if it is not intended to defend them against an enemy who reaches them.

### 3. Limitations on weapons and their use

In this respect the basic rule is article 23 (e) of the Regulations annexed to the IVth Hague Convention of 1907, namely: "*It is forbidden to employ arms, projectiles or material calculated to cause unnecessary suffering.*"

Its characteristic is that its aim is not only to spare non-combatants, but also to avoid any suffering to combatants in excess of what is essential to place an adversary hors de combat. This implies that weapons and methods as described below should not be used. Due to the nature of modern war, this field of law no longer concerns only combatants, but also civilian populations.

#### (a) *Weapons inflicting needless suffering*

The Conventions of The Hague and St. Petersburg prohibit the use of "*Poison or poisoned weapons*" (Hague Regulations, art. 23, a), "*any projectile of a weight below 400 grammes which is either explosive or charged with fulminating or inflammable substances*" (St. Petersburg Declaration, 1868) and so-called "dum-dum" bullets "*which expand or flatten in the human body*" (Hague Declaration, 1899).

It might well be asked whether such new weapons as napalm and high velocity rockets should not be included in this category. They have not so far been expressly prohibited, but they do cause enormous suffering and the general prohibition which forms the sub-heading to this section seems applicable to them.

Mention must also be made of a clause in the St. Petersburg Declaration to the effect that parties thereto reserve the right to come to an

understanding whenever a precise proposition shall be drawn up concerning any technological developments in weapons, with a view to maintaining the principles they have established and reconciling the necessities of war to the laws of humanity. It is unfortunate that States have not followed up this suggestion which today is as valid as ever.

## (b) "Blind" weapons

These weapons not only cause great suffering but do not allow of precision against specific targets or have such widespread effect in time and place as to be uncontrollable. They include, for instance, chemical and bacteriological weapons, floating mines and delayed action bombs, whose insidious effects are such that they preclude relief action.

The Geneva Protocol of June 17, 1925, *prohibiting the use in war of asphyxiating, poisonous and other gases and of bacteriological methods of warfare* has replaced older prohibitions (the 1899 Hague Convention, the Treaty of Versailles) and shall be considered as the expression of customary law. In an almost unanimous resolution on December 5, 1966—which affirms that the strict observance of the rules of international law on the conduct of warfare is in the interest of maintaining the accepted norms of civilisation—the United Nations General Assembly called for strict observance by all States of the principles and objectives of this Protocol, and condemned all actions contrary to those objectives. This very brief Protocol is in the nature of a Declaration subject to ratification by the Powers and binding them in the event of conflict with any co-signatories. This formula seems to have been well chosen and remarkably successful; only one violation has been recorded. It should be pointed out, however, that almost eighty States are not participants.

Unanimous agreement on the interpretation of this prohibition has not been achieved by qualified writers. The Protocol mentions not only asphyxiating gases but also "other" gases. Does this mean all gases or only those which are a hazard to life and health?

The major problem however has been set by nuclear weapons.

In a resolution adopted on November 24, 1961, the United Nations General Assembly stated that the use of nuclear and thermo-nuclear weapons, which exceed even the field of war and cause uncontrollable suffering and destruction to humanity and civilization, "is contrary to international law and to the laws of humanity". It must be added, however, that this resolution was not adopted unanimously, did not cover the case of reprisals and, what is more, it envisaged at some future date the signing of a Convention on the prohibition of nuclear weapons, and it also requested the United Nations Secretary-General to hold consultations with governments on the possibility of convening a special Conference for that purpose.

Until such a Convention has been drawn up and widely ratified—it is still not yet known when this special Conference will meet—the fact must

be faced that qualified writers differ on this question. It is not our aim here to decide this important controversy. We would state merely that the use of atomic energy in war has not been expressly forbidden, for the conventional law on the conduct of warfare dates back to a time when atomic energy was unknown. However this does not justify its use: in the implementation of the law of war, as any other law, general principles must apply to cases not previously foreseen. It is in fact these very principles which the present survey reviews, i.e.: no attack on the civilian population *per se*, distinction between combatants and non-combatants, avoidance of unnecessary suffering, only military objectives to be targets for attack, and even in this latter case, the taking of every precaution to spare the population.

This view was proclaimed by the XXth International Conference of the Red Cross which met in Vienna in 1965. The Resolution No. XXVIII then adopted postulated certain essential principles of protection for civilian populations and added that "*the general principles of the Law of War apply to nuclear and similar weapons*". This does not imply that the Conference intended to make any decision on the legitimacy of using such weapons; it merely made it clear that in any event nuclear weapons, like any others, were subject to these general principles until such time as governments came to an understanding on measures for disarmament and control with a view to a complete prohibition of the use of atomic energy in warfare.

---

# INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS

---

## EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES

### Nigeria

Mention has been made in a previous number of the *International Review* that negotiations were being carried out between the Nigerian Government and the ICRC, following on that government's decision to put an end to the co-ordinating role which the International Committee had assumed for the relief action in territory under Nigerian control. At the end of August these negotiations were being pursued and we now give details of these below.

On 22 July, Mr. Enrico Bignami, special representative of the ICRC President, left Geneva for Lagos. He was directed to continue the negotiations which Mr. Marcel Naville began at the beginning of the month with the Nigerian authorities and was accompanied by Mr. Michel-Edouard Martin, adviser in the Legal Department.

The main subject of the conversations were the transfer of the ICRC's co-ordinating mission to the "Nigerian National Rehabilitation Commission".

In addition, on 1st August, the ICRC simultaneously submitted to the Nigerian Government and the Biafran authorities a plan which could reasonably be expected to permit daylight flights to Biafra.

On 5 August, Mr. Arikpo, Nigerian Minister of Foreign Affairs, convened a meeting of representatives of the ICRC, the main donor countries (Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, the United States, Finland, Federal Republic of Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway and Sweden) in order to acquaint them of the Nigerian attitude to the ICRC plan.

Consequent on Mr. Arikpo's statements concerning the application of article 23 of the IVth Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949, the ICRC replied as follows:

"The Nigerian authorities are quite right in saying that the State which allows the free passage has the right to prescribe the technical arrangements. However, this concluding clause in article 23 should not be so construed as to vitiate the obvious general purpose of that article.

"Article 23 confers on children under 15 years of age, expectant mothers and maternity cases, the right to receive the medical and hospital stores and food supplies necessary for their survival. This right to help commits States parties to the IVth Convention not only to grant free passage of relief consignments, but to do so as rapidly as possible.

"Consequently the final clause of article 23 is not intended to prevent or delay the arrival of relief consignments. If it were, it would invalidate the whole humanitarian purpose of the article."

The President of the ICRC went to Algiers on 22 July, where he met Colonel Boumedienne, Acting Chairman of the Organization of African Unity (OAU). Mr. Naville explained to him the ICRC's position with regard to the relief operations in Nigeria and in Biafra.

\* \* \*

As regards the food and medical situation in secessionist territory (Biafra), Mr. K.-H. Jaggi, head of the ICRC delegation, gave the following information to the ICRC at the beginning of August:

"The controversy which has arisen about the food situation in Biafra calls for some clarification of the information which has been issued on this subject. Generally speaking, it must be admitted that the food situation over the last few months has not reached the alarming level of last year, when thousands of people were daily dying of starvation.

In January it was estimated that during the period preceding the harvest (June and July) a minimum of 500 tons of food should reach Biafra each day in order to avoid disaster. In April and May the situation was fairly satisfactorily as it was possible to fly in each day an average of 270 tons; this enabled needs to be met to a greater extent than previously.

The January estimates were subsequently revised. The question then became whether it would be possible to fly in 300 tons a day during June, July and August, that is to say until the beginning of the main harvest season. This would have prevented a future deterioration.

This revision was due to two facts:

- a) the abundant cassava crop (manioc) south and south-west of Owerri in territory newly controlled by the Biafrans;
- b) the favourable corn crop as a result of planned intensive cultivation.

Due to the shooting down of an ICRC aircraft on the night of 5 to 6 June, total June food consignments to Biafra by all relief organizations were reduced to about 1,500 tons in June, i.e. an average of 50 tons a day, as against the ICRC estimate of a 300-ton minimum daily requirement. July supplies were higher, but still only 100 tons a day, i.e. one-third of the estimated minimum.

The curb on relief flights to Biafra in June also caused some disruption of the distribution system and control, and was a setback to the whole programme (composition of food—proteins—carbohydrates; closing of several distribution centres; reduction of personnel in some organizations)."

The following observations on the present situation have been made by the ICRC medical and food distribution staff in the field:

"At the beginning of June, the ICRC had a network of about 700 feeding centres which provided over a million children, pregnant women and nursing mothers with regular meals three times a week. Kwashiorkor had almost disappeared except in some territories recently occupied by the Biafrans. However, children and adults previously exposed to malnutrition had not fully recovered.

When flights were stopped, food stocks held at ICRC stores in Biafra would have allowed for continued operation on a normal



scale for only ten days. Immediate steps were taken to reserve stocks for child malnutrition treatment centres and hospitals. Refugee camps being no longer supplied, the number of children receiving ICRC relief was consequently reduced. At the beginning of July less than 10% of those normally receiving the benefit of ICRC relief action were receiving a little food, mainly of local origin.

The effect of the food shortage was worse than expected, especially on children who had already suffered from starvation, and in the southern provinces where more than 60% of the children were affected. Child malnutrition treatment centres were again overwhelmed.

According to ICRC statistics, the mid-July death rate of children in 40 ICRC centres was 50 a day, and the figure rose to 100 the following week.

The situation grows worse daily. If statistics from the other centres, the villages and refugee camps were included, these figures would be multiplied many times over.

Total relief supplies now reaching Biafra are only one-third of what, in May, was considered the absolute minimum to avoid a catastrophe. This is in spite of the fact that food is still flown into Biafra by other organizations."

**Emergency Flight.**—The emergency flight to Biafra for which the ICRC had requested authorization, took place on the night of 4 to 5 August 1969.

The ICRC planes took off from Cotonou on 5 August and flew direct to Uli on the usual route for ICRC mercy flights.

Their cargo consisted of thirty tons of medical supplies (including blood serum and plasma) for Biafran children. ICRC delegates, some of them doctors, were also aboard.

It was still dark when the aircraft took off for the return via Lagos, where they landed at about 7 a.m., before completing the last stage back to their base at Cotonou.

**Success of the vaccination campaign in Biafra**—The emergency air operation carried out by the ICRC during the night of 4 to 5 August to Biafra enabled the bringing of 100,000 anti-measles

vaccine doses, exclusively for children and 800,000 anti-smallpox vaccine doses able to be administered to children over 5 years old and adults.

Thanks to this consignment, the ICRC teams were able from 16 to 23 August to vaccinate 13,889 children against measles and 34,647 persons against smallpox. This medical vaccination campaign was effected at four points on Biafran territory: Owerri area, Ikwerre-Etche, near Port Harcourt, Etiti in the northern province of Okigwi and near Annang in Uyo Province in the South.

Since the beginning of the vaccination campaign, started at the end of 1968, 813,756 children have been immunized against measles and 2,068,184 children and adults have been injected with anti-smallpox serum.

## **Middle East**

A family reunion operation took place at El Qantara on 28 July 1969 under ICRC auspices.

This enabled 130 Palestinians to return to Gaza territory and 48 Egyptians to go back to the United Arab Republic. Two Egyptian prisoners of war and one Israeli were also repatriated on that occasion.

\*

The Jerusalem and Amman delegations on 20 July 1969 organized a repatriation operation. Some handicapped Arab children, treated in hospital in Bethlehem were thus able to rejoin their families living in Amman since the June 1967 conflict.

After staying several months in Jordan, some children will be returning to West Bank territory to continue medical treatment.

## **People's Republic of South Yemen**

*Medical action.*—Mr. A. Rochat, ICRC Delegate-General, visited the ICRC surgical team at Mukallah from 16 to 21 July 1969.

He was able to observe the efficient running of the hospital's operating service carried out by Dr. Gerber, surgeon assisted by Miss Péclat, anaesthetist, both of Swiss nationality.

The ICRC surgical team in Aden has also continued to provide surgical service to the Al Gamhouriah hospital. The team at present consists of two surgeons and a doctor-anaesthetist, Drs. Ivan Ivantchev, Tsanko Markov and Simeon Ivanov, all three placed at the ICRC's disposal by the Bulgarian Red Cross. Professor Francesco Castellano, Director of the united hospitals in Naples has also given his voluntary services to the ICRC for a period of two months.

*Relief action.*—There has been regular continuation of the relief action started in July for certain categories of persons in need in Aden. This action, consisting of the distribution of milk in three of the capital's centres, is carried out by the local Red Crescent, under the direction and supervision of the ICRC delegation. Relief has also been given to some 150 detainees' families.

### **Arab Republic of the Yemen**

In Sanaa, the ICRC relief action on behalf of certain categories of women, children and the sick is being continued on the basis of the distribution programme drawn up in November 1968. This action includes the daily distribution of milk and cheese from which over 6,000 persons benefit at present. Distribution of these relief supplies is supervised by the ICRC delegate.

### **North Yemen**

The ICRC medical team in Najran continues to give treatment to the local Yemeni population. The team deals with 100 to 150 cases daily at the polyclinic in its charge.

### **Laos**

The Lao Red Cross, with the help of Dr. Baer, ICRC delegate, has carried out 9 relief distributions at Houeisai in Houakhong Province affecting nearly 2,300 displaced persons having taken refuge in 416 families.

The delegate also visited a village near Houeisai of war disabled in which there were 18 families.

\*

Dr. Baer paid a further visit in early August to prisoners held by Royalist forces and distributed some relief supplies to them.

## **North America**

Miss A. Pfirter, head of the ICRC Medical Personnel Section, visited Canada where she represented the International Committee at the 14th Congress of the International Council of Nurses, held in Montreal from 22 to 28 July. She took that opportunity to make contact with the various services of the Ministry of Health in Ottawa, meet the Head Nurse of the Army Medical Service and acquaint herself with the various activities of the Canadian Red Cross.

In the USA she represented the ICRC at the General Assembly of WHO which took place in Boston from 8 to 25 July. She then went to Washington and New York where she visited the different services of the American Red Cross.

## **Hungary**

A mission comprising Dr. F. Züst, doctor-delegate and Miss. L. Simonius, ICRC delegate, went from 3 to 8 August to Budapest to examine with representatives of the Hungarian Red Cross, 20 new cases of former deportees, victims of pseudo-medical experiments in concentration camps during the Second World War. Claims for compensation by these Hungarian victims will subsequently be submitted to a neutral Commission which the ICRC has charged with deciding on the validity of these claims and on the amount which the Government of the German Federal Republic has declared itself prepared to disburse to those entitled.

---

*IN GENEVA***Appointment**

Mr. Raymond Courvoisier has since 1 August 1969 taken over the appointment of special assistant to the President of the International Committee, thus bringing it his wide experience in the field of international humanitarian law. It should, in fact, be recalled that from 1936 to 1945 he undertook a large number of missions in ICRC service as delegate in Spain, Turkey, in East European and Middle East countries. Furthermore, he was in charge of a section in the Central Prisoners of War Agency in Geneva during the Second World War.

He was subsequently head of mission of the "Don Suisse", then from 1948 a senior official of the United Nations in the Middle East. In 1961 he was Director of the European Office of UNRWA.

**Annual Report**

Work carried out during the past year is described in the usual ICRC report <sup>1</sup>.

The *1968 Annual Report* is divided as previously into two parts: practical, and general and permanent activities. The former deals with various countries and continents. Emphasis is laid on efforts made for victims in the Nigerian conflict and relief data showed their increasing extent. On the other hand, some of the specialist services, such as the Central Tracing Agency in Geneva, the International Tracing Service at Arolsen, the Medical Personnel

---

<sup>1</sup> *Annual Report 1968*, ICRC Geneva, 1969, 103 pages. The report is published in French, English and Spanish and of which there is a mimeographed edition in German. It can be obtained from the ICRC at a cost of 7 Sw. frs.

and War Disablement Sections have continued to be particularly active. One has only to quote the Central Agency which in 1968 received 54,783 communications and sent out 54,227 items, a considerable increase in volume in comparison with the previous year.

Tasks of a general character are described at length and there is much detailed reference to the extension of international humanitarian law. Attention should be drawn especially to two important subjects mentioned, that of new accessions to the Geneva Conventions and their dissemination and also of the reaffirmation and development of the humanitarian laws and customs applicable in armed conflicts. An assessment can thus be made of the theoretical and legal work accomplished by the ICRC, which is making every effort on the international level to offer more effective protection to the victims of conflicts.

The Report ends with the present financial position of the ICRC and its administration of special funds.

---

EXCHANGE OF  
PRISONERS OF WAR  
under Red Cross auspices



Accompanied by ICRC delegates, Salvadoran prisoners of war crossing the frontier to return home. A few minutes later Honduran prisoners will be crossing in the opposite direction.



*Previously, there had been checking of lists of prisoners of war about to be exchanged and the signing of official documents (from right to left: Mr. E. Leeman, ICRC delegate, Mr. J. Gómez Andino, President of the Honduran Red Cross, Mr. S. Nessi, ICRC delegate general, Mr. R. Jiménez and Mr. T. Siman, respectively President and Vice-President of the Salvador Red Cross)...*

*... then roll-call by the ICRC delegate of prisoners who will be crossing the frontier between Honduras and El Salvador.*





## TO THE HELP OF VICTIMS OF THE HONDURAS-SALVADOR CONFLICT

In its last number, the *International Review* mentioned the departure from Geneva of Mr. S. Nessi, ICRC delegate-general for Latin America and of Mr. E. Leemann, delegate, as a result of the appeal made by the Red Cross of Honduras due to the conflict which had broken out between Honduras and El Salvador. On arrival the ICRC representatives were able to visit prisoners of war and interned civilians on several occasions in those two countries and distribute relief to them.

In August, in co-operation with the two National Societies, they were able to organize two important humanitarian actions and supervise their being put into effect, which were entirely successful.

### EXCHANGE OF PRISONERS OF WAR

During the negotiations conducted by the Organization of American States (OAS) for the purpose first of all of effecting a cease-fire, then a withdrawal of troops to positions occupied before the outbreak of the crisis, the ICRC delegates in Honduras and El Salvador entered into discussions with the governments and staffs of the two parties with a view to obtaining their agreement to an exchange of prisoners of war. As a result of this agreement, 27 Salvador and 58 Honduran POWs were handed over on 12 August at El Amatillo (frontier post on the Panamerican highway) to their respective governments in the presence of the ICRC delegates.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> *Plate.*—Accompanied by ICRC delegates, Salvadoran prisoners of war crossing the frontier to return home. A few minutes later Honduran prisoners will be crossing in the opposite direction. Previously, there had been checking of lists of prisoners of war about to be exchanged and the signing of official documents, then roll-call by the ICRC delegate of prisoners who will be crossing the frontier between Honduras and El Salvador.

## INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE

Representatives of the National Red Cross Societies who ensured the transporting of the prisoners as far as El Amatillo, were also present at that operation.

It should be noted that this exchange took place exactly twenty years after the signing on 12 August 1949 of the Third Geneva Convention relative to the treatment of prisoners of war and whose article 18 stipulates that prisoners of war shall be repatriated without delay after the cessation of hostilities.

### REPATRIATION OF HONDURAN CIVILIANS

During their advance into Honduras in July, the armed forces of El Salvador arrested some hundreds of Honduran citizens resident in the occupied territory.

On 16 August 1969, 193 of these were repatriated under the supervision of the representatives of the International Committee assisted by the Red Cross Societies of El Salvador and Honduras. Sixty-two of these people crossed the border at El Poy and 131 at El Amatillo.

During their detention in El Salvador for several weeks, these civilians—mostly women and children—were provided for by the Salvador Red Cross.

Their repatriation took place, it can be seen, shortly after the exchange of the prisoners of war.

---

# IN THE RED CROSS WORLD

---

## BRAZIL

The Brazilian Red Cross has recently resumed publication of its official review, *Cruz Vermelha Brasileira*.

The new editor, Professor A. Antonino Jaber writes stressing the determination of the National Society to develop its activities. To this end, the new Committee has resumed contact with the different heads of local committees where it met at its headquarters in Rio de Janeiro the Presidents of branches of the States of Sao Paulo, Parana, Rio de Janeiro and the towns of Belo Horizonte, Bahia and Paty de Alferez.

It is satisfactory to learn from this Review that the Red Cross has again organized training courses for voluntary first-aiders and appointed a doctor in charge of this instruction. The 5th training course started on 25 March 1969 at the Society's headquarters with a ceremony at which the wife of the President of the Republic was present.

As regards relief action, the Brazilian Red Cross intervened effectively during the recent floods at Alagôas and distributed clothing and food to the homeless. It should also be mentioned that it is continuing to co-operate closely with the Central Tracing Agency in Geneva over inquiries on persons missing in Brazil.

---

## BURMA

*A supplement to the Rangoon newspaper The Working People's Daily was devoted to the Red Cross and its work in Burma. Articles by the President and several leading members of the National Society described the volunteers' function in our movement and the constitution of what is called the International Red Cross. The Society's Executive*

## IN THE RED CROSS WORLD

*Secretary, in a wide survey, evoked the ever greater tasks accomplished in Burma, particularly since 1959. We believe our readers will be interested in a summary of some passages of his article on the usefulness and effectiveness of Red Cross voluntary workers.*

At first the most important thing was the recruitment of members. But prospective members had first to be taught what the Red Cross stood for and what it intended to do; in a country where health problems are so important, knowledge of first aid and home nursing is essential.

With assistance from the Directorate of the Medical Services of the Armed Forces, a first aid text book was published. This has since been revised and brought up to date with the assistance of the Directorate of Health. More than 60,000 persons of all ages and occupations have already been trained in first aid, and the number of courses and trainees increases each year.

With the approval of the education authorities, Junior Red Cross Societies are being organized in most middle and high schools to promote health, to undertake certain social welfare activities and increase international understanding among young people of all nations. First aid and home nursing classes and first aid posts were organized in schools. School Red Cross ambulance units were formed and measures were adopted for the prevention of accidents and disease.<sup>1</sup> In addition, through the social welfare programmes, juniors become aware of the existence of the physically-handicapped, of the socially unfortunate and of the sick, especially among children, and of the need to lighten the burden of such persons.

Red Cross ambulance work has become so popular that there is hardly any important public gathering in the country without a Red Cross ambulance unit in attendance. All members of the Red Cross are volunteers. They have to be trained in first aid and are subject to near-military discipline while on Red Cross duty.

The most remarkable feature of these Red Cross volunteers is that ambulance work is only one of the duties. They serve also in other fields: in repair and maintenance of dykes during periods of

---

<sup>1</sup> *Plate.*—Red Cross volunteers play an important role in the prevention of epidemics by ensuring the vaccination of the population.

## BURMA



Photo League of Red Cross Societies Geneva

Red Cross volunteers play an important role in the prevention of epidemics by ensuring the vaccination of the population.

Red Cross volunteers receiving equipment against regional impending cyclones and floods : transistor sets, sirens and other instruments enabling them to give warning in time for the inhabitants to take shelter.

flood; they perform rescue and relief work in time of disaster; they disseminate health knowledge; they demonstrate the construction of latrines and the protection of water resources; they collect food, funds and relief supplies for victims of fires, floods and storms; they volunteer their labour for constructive work; recruit blood donors and give their own blood when required. . . .

The Burma Red Cross works side by side with the public authorities for the prevention of epidemics. The work of Red Cross volunteers is important in the prevention of epidemics by carrying out vaccination campaigns when an epidemic threatens and providing first aid in the event of natural disaster.

During the last ten years, in spite of its slender resources, the Red Cross has distributed funds and food to sister societies to help the victims of disasters. In the same way, other National Societies have helped the Burma Red Cross in time of need. In 1961 for instance, when large areas were flooded, Societies donated money and goods. In a recent cyclone disaster, the Society collected relief goods, some of which it already had in store at Akyab at the time of the disaster and which was airlifted as soon as the bad tidings reached Rangoon.

There are Red Cross Societies in practically every township and in some 2,000 villages. The Burma Red Cross roots spread throughout the whole population for the sole purpose of alleviating distress.

---

## PAKISTAN

*The preparation of relief as a precaution against disasters is an important task undertaken today in certain countries by the National Societies. It is also an essential part of the programme of the League of Red Cross Societies, whose Relief Section published the following circular relative to East Pakistan:*

The Swedish Red Cross, in conjunction with the League, offered to assist the Pakistan Red Cross Society with its pre-disaster

planning in East Pakistan—one of the most highly vulnerable parts of the world to disaster. In the second half of 1966 an expert was assigned to work with the East Pakistan Provincial Branch of the Pakistan Red Cross at Dacca.

A profound study was made by the Red Cross and the authorities of the particular problem affecting East Pakistan, namely the cyclones which sweep up the Bay of Bengal and over the off-shore islands and the low lying coastal areas, resulting in thousands of deaths and millions of homeless almost every year. An absolute solution involving the construction of a protective embankment against high storm waves, the installation of a number of weather radars including a complete communication system, etc., is beyond the resources at present available. However, a great improvement was considered possible by teaching and training the population to use their experience in a systematic way and by giving them simple but essential equipment such as radios to receive the warnings and sirens to alert the villagers, etc.<sup>1</sup>

In 1967 therefore, the Pakistan Red Cross, in close collaboration with the authorities, commenced training of team leaders in all the communities along the coast line. These people, with their team of helpers, are responsible for alerting the villagers, the evacuation of the population to safer areas, fire fighting, rescue of cattle, storage of water and food, as well as ensuring the provision of medical care and sanitation, the distribution of emergency relief supplies and other Red Cross services. Systematic training has continued ever since and distributions have been made of transistor radios, hand-operated sirens and lanterns for giving the alert, life vests for children living on the most vulnerable islands, and first-aid kits for the team leaders.

Radda Barnen, the Swedish Save the Children Fund, expressed its interest in cooperating with this programme and agreed to finance the purchase and installation of a 10-cm weather radar at Cox's Bazar as part of the Pre-Disaster Planning Pilot Project undertaken by the East Pakistan Red Cross in cooperation with

---

<sup>1</sup> *Plate*: Red Cross volunteers receiving equipment to enable them to carry out their work when cyclones and floods threaten an area: transistor sets, sirens and other material to sound the alarm in sufficient time for the population to take shelter.



the Swedish Red Cross and the League. This would give advance warning of the approach of a cyclone and thus permit the evacuation process to be put into operation. The foundation stone was laid in October 1968 and on the 16th May 1969 the radar station went into operation. The meteorological personnel assigned by the Pakistan Government to the radar station at Cox's Bazar have been trained at the Miami Weather Bureau in Florida and Japan. They are now receiving further training in radar interpretation by an American storm expert and in radar technique and maintenance by Japanese radar engineers at present stationed at Cox's Bazar.

Thus a very important step forward has been taken by the Pakistan Red Cross Society in this vital Red Cross field of pre-disaster planning.

---

## PERU

On 21 June 1969, rioting broke out at Huanta and Ayacucho, two towns some 325 miles south-east of Lima. As a result of the clash between peasants and police there were eighteen dead and some sixty wounded.

A relief centre was immediately organised by the National Red Cross Society at Lima. Dr. Demetrio Grados, Head of Relief, flew to Ayacucho, taking with him a consignment of medical supplies including blood serum and plasma.

In addition, four lorries with medical supplies went to Huanta on 27 June. The town had been completely isolated due to the disturbances.

The Peruvian Red Cross, in co-operation with the armed forces, organised an airlift between Lima and Huanta. This enabled the more seriously wounded to be evacuated as rapidly as possible.

---

## M I S C E L L A N E O U S

---

### INTERNATIONAL EDUCATION YEAR <sup>1</sup>

... Why then is there need for an International Education Year? Because, despite all the strides, education in both the industrialized and the developing worlds is in crisis as was fully recognized at the Conference of European Ministers of Education convened by Unesco in Vienna in 1967.<sup>2</sup> One of the reasons for this is the unprecedented growth in population, especially in developing countries where orderly growth of education is so vital for economic and social expansion. This has made it hard, if not almost impossible, to build enough schools, train enough qualified teachers, produce the necessary textbooks and other materials in order to keep pace with the numbers. While the percentage of the world's totally illiterate population has declined from a little more than 44 per cent—the proportion estimated at the time of the Unesco survey over a decade ago—to a fraction over 33 per cent now, the actual number of illiterates has increased from 700 million to between 740 and 750 million and rises steadily each year.

In addition, despite encouraging school enrolment figures, the drop-out and “repeater” rates have remained depressingly high. In Africa, for example, seven out of ten children still quit before completing sixth grade.

Reform and modernization in both methods and content of education have not kept up with the times. Much of the educational structure—and again this is especially the case in most of the newly independent States—remains many years behindhand, while other areas of society are taking advantage of the new technology. Other reasons for the crisis are the lack of good jobs, the information “explosion” and the “credibility gap” between what the

---

<sup>1</sup> “Why International Education Year?”, by Richard Greenough, *UNESCO Chronicle*, Paris, 1969, No. 4.

<sup>2</sup> See *UNESCO Chronicle*, Vol. XIV (1968), No. 1.

young are taught in school and what society practises, all of which have created tensions, dissent, often disorder.

Some of the underlying causes of student unrest help to explain this crisis even more. Again, there is an unprecedented growth in the number of young people. Those under the age of 24 are in an absolute numerical majority today: they represent 54 per cent of the world's population, and in Africa, Asia and Latin America 60 per cent.

Then there is the information "explosion". In the scientific world alone, new ideas, or new concepts, applications and uses for old or familiar ideas are pouring forth in an endless flow. It has been calculated that all this information and material adds up to some 15 million pages of new reading matter each year, and that an economist, for instance, would now have to spend 12 hours each day just to keep up with progress in his own field.

Furthermore, the head of the family, or the average teacher, is no longer an accepted fountainhead of knowledge—unless he is a very exceptional parent or teacher. The chances are that a university student of today knows more in some disciplines than they do, and an ordinary computer can certainly store more facts. Finally, there is the "credibility" or morality gap facing the student, who is urged to look deeply and honestly into, for example, the causes of war and injustice, only to graduate into a world where such problems are all too often veiled in hypocrisy or at least in dubious reasoning.

That the international community is acutely aware of the necessity of focussing world attention on this crisis in education was seen when the United Nations General Assembly on 17 December 1968 unanimously adopted a resolution proclaiming 1970 "International Education Year"...

But the real goal of the International Education Year is to bring about changes in policies and practices in respect of education and training. Regional and international conferences held during 1970 will provide one means of defining some of the policy consequences on the International Education Year. These include the Food and Agriculture Organization's world conference on agricultural education in the summer of 1970, Unesco's International Conference on Public Education, to be held at that time and, in a

## MISCELLANEOUS

more general form, the sixteenth session of Unesco's General Conference which will take place at the end of the year may be expected to address itself to a critical reappraisal of modern education, and to adopt a report for submission to the United Nations General Assembly.

The much quoted statement by H. G. Wells that human history is a "race between education and catastrophe", well applies to the crisis today. But education *can* win the race if all available resources are mobilized to meet the pressing needs of a better world that all are trying to create. And this is the aim of the International Education Year.

---

## SOCIAL WELFARE POLICY FOR THE DISABLED

*In October 1968 the ordinary meeting of delegates of the Fédération internationale des mutilés et invalides du travail et des invalides civils (FIMITIC) took place in Copenhagen. The Federation's main objective is to co-ordinate and harmonize social policy for the welfare of disabled persons—irrespective of the cause of their disability—so that social benefits, services and institutions in the various countries may, as far as possible, be consistent with certain minimum standards. The FIMITIC meeting defined fourteen such standards. These are quoted below in view of the interest of many National Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies in rehabilitation problems.<sup>1</sup>*

1. Every disabled person is entitled to the best possible rehabilitation aiming at overcoming the disability if possible, restoring ability for gainful employment, fitting the disabled person for his place in society and making him as self-reliant as possible in everyday life. Governments, science and private organizations should make the most modern rehabilitation methods available to the

---

<sup>1</sup> Are included in the term "rehabilitation", all the measures and methods which are necessary for the return of disabled persons to social and professional life.

disabled and should create the personal conditions necessary to ensure that measures are carried out to promote readaptation.

2. All national organizations members of FIMITIC are invited to approach their parliaments and governments for laws to provide all disabled with more extensive rehabilitation facilities.

3. All rehabilitation laws, services and installations should be introduced for the benefit of all disabled persons without exception. Right to rehabilitation should not depend on the cause or extent of disability. In this respect reference is made to International Labour Office recommendation 99 of June 21, 1955. Application of rehabilitation methods should if possible be uniform within a State and should at least be co-ordinated.

4. All solutions on a national scale to deal with rehabilitation problems should guarantee social welfare and the provision of vital needs. The courage and wish of disabled people to submit to rehabilitation should be fostered by adequate social and public measures.

5. Youth should be educated to realize that disabled people are equally entitled to life. School children should be taught to help their disabled comrades and accept them as equals. Similarly, understanding for the disabled should be developed among the whole population.

6. Social reintegration should be developed as part of rehabilitation, by the setting up of infant and primary schools for handicapped children.

7. Rehabilitation services and equipment should be available to disabled people as early in life as possible and should continue to be available to them until optimum rehabilitation results are obtained, that is to say, until they are reintegrated into the economic, professional and social life of their community.

8. Every disabled person should be given the opportunity of participating fully in the cultural and social life of the community. One condition for this is the elimination of physical obstacles to unrestricted use of amenities in housing, workshops, transport and public buildings. Measures should be taken to provide disabled persons with the greatest possible freedom of movement in all walks of life.

9. For disabled persons no longer capable of reintegration into normal working life, special plants should be set up, such as protec-

## MISCELLANEOUS

ted workshops and special housing so that they may lead a life worthy of full members of the community.

10. Legislation for protection against accidents at work, in the home, in the street and elsewhere should be adapted to conform to the most recent scientific knowledge in order to provide the population with the best protection.

11. Every form of rehabilitation should take into account the disabled person's individual circumstances and make the widest possible allowance for the disabled person's co-operation and association in the implementation of necessary measures for rehabilitation.

12. All persons engaged in rehabilitation, such as doctors, teachers, trainers, social welfare workers, etc., should receive thorough instruction and follow refresher courses.

13. In order constantly to improve rehabilitation, research in this field should be intensified. Results of such research and of international experience should be compared and used to the full.

14. FIMITIC recognizes the need to develop rehabilitation and improve rehabilitation services in developing countries and it supports the efforts of international organizations in this field.

---

## A LAND OF REFUGE

*This illustrated pamphlet<sup>1</sup> deftly describes the work of installing some 15,000 M'Boki refugees in the Central African Republic by the United Nations High Commission for Refugees which was assisted by the League for that operation. As the High Commissioner, Prince Sadruddin Aga Khan, observes, M'Boki is a concrete example of what the High Commission for Refugees can achieve in Africa with the support of the authorities of the countries of asylum, of UN institutions and of other organizations concerned in international efforts made for refugees. In his introduction he says:*

While it took many years in other parts of the world to have the principle accepted that helping refugees was a humanitarian

---

<sup>1</sup> "The Promise of M'Boki", publication of the UNHCR, Geneva, 1969.

and non-political action that should not be construed as an unfriendly gesture towards the countries of origin, in Africa, practically all Governments, notably through the Organization of African Unity, accepted these principles from the beginning. As a consequence, many of the refugee situations could be "depoliticised". There have been tense and difficult moments but at least through the wise action of Governments such incidents as occurred were prevented from causing lasting damage. Not less important has been the attitude of the authorities in receiving refugees, in taking immediate measures to provide them with medical care, food and shelter, or in calling in outside help when the local resources were not sufficient. Similarly, the action in offering the refugees good land and providing them with administrative services and including them in the educational system provided the essential basis for solving the problems. Furthermore, the confidence placed in UNHCR as co-ordinator of international assistance made possible the establishment of an international partnership that has unquestionably proved its value. Of this partnership, M'boki is a telling example.

As in other refugee situations in Europe and in Africa, we found the League of Red Cross Societies ready to shoulder the operational responsibilities during the difficult emergency phase and the first period of the resettlement operation. The presence of devoted and competent Red Cross volunteers, whose work cannot be too highly praised, proved a vital element in the maintenance of health. Furthermore, the League undertook the co-ordination of the technical activities for the settlement until the end of 1968.

*The High Commissioner finally declared that in the early stage it is necessary to provide refugees with the food they need while they work the land and await the first harvests. Furthermore, assistance is incomplete unless it also includes health and education.*

## BOOKS AND REVIEWS

---

**Public health schools**, by F. GRUNDY, *WHO Chronicle*, Geneva, No. 6, 1969

As many schools of public health grew out of existing institutions with mainly service and research functions, continuing in some instances under a different name and different auspices, it is not always easy to give the exact date when a school was established. Tentative beginnings in the training of doctors in public health were made towards the end of the nineteenth century, but schools of public health in their present form are predominantly a product of the twentieth century and mostly of the period since the First World War. Only six were established in the later years of the nineteenth century—one in Germany (1882), one in USA (1889), and four in medical schools in the United Kingdom. Another 15 first offered courses between 1900 and 1914 and, of the rest, 16 first offered courses as late as the nineteen-sixties.

By the middle of the nineteenth century it was realized that the State could not discharge its responsibilities for community health without trained personnel to assess health needs and problems and to organize and administer health services. In the present century, differences in the scope and character of public health and social systems in different countries have led to a considerable variety in the structure of public health schools. Broadly speaking, the modern public health movement had a twofold origin: in Germany it began with the foundation of institutes of hygiene, and in the United Kingdom it began with public health legislation. In Germany, towards the end of the century, every university had its department or institute of hygiene, in which microbiology and research were the important elements. In the United Kingdom, on the other hand, the emphasis was on public health administration. In the schools of public health established in the early years of the twentieth century, the two systems were amalgamated and courses were offered that included both the scientific and the legal aspects of hygiene and public health. The schools usually undertook research work and provided certain laboratory and other services for the community. Since then the organization of schools has tended to follow this pattern, but with increasing emphasis on administration and personal health.

In eastern and central European countries the idea of the institute of hygiene was conceived in the philosophy of pioneers like Andrija Stampar of Yugoslavia, physician and social reformer, who saw medicine as a social service and the public health administrator as a person with a dual function—the investigation of community health and the admini-



nistration of health services. In these countries special institutes were established having extensive research and service functions. In the USSR, postgraduate training in public health is given at three medical institutes for the higher training of physicians in all specialties, including public health. They are self-governing institutions operating under the Ministry of Health and supported by the Government. Besides playing a leading role in postgraduate teaching, they are also centres for research.

**New and Improved Formats for Care**, by L. Christman, *International Nursing Review*, Basle, 1969, No. 3

There is always a striving of some portions of all the professions to move from the practical to the ideal. In examining these movements, it is most important to examine who is striving toward what ideal. At this moment in time, some elements within the university schools of nursing are extremely concerned with developing expert nurse practitioners. The graduates of these particular programs will be different. They may cause some disturbance in the system, as they will not be tuned to the status quo. Hence, they will cause strain, but hopefully one that will be welcomed. They will be knowledgeable. They will be innovative. They will be less willing to fit into the accepted routines of the hospital. They will challenge the practices and relationships they find in hospitals. It is to be hoped that out of this ruffling of the waters will emerge new and improved formats of care.

Organizational effectiveness in hospitals can be attained. To do it calls for sharp departures from many accustomed practices. In addition, sweeping attitude shifts will be necessary to provide the impetus for change. The rate of progress will depend on whether administrators and nurses will choose complacency and short range comfort or whether change, with all its discomforts, will prevail. What is your choice?

EXTRACT FROM THE STATUTES OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS

(AGREED AND AMENDED ON SEPTEMBER 25, 1952)

ART. 1. — The International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) founded in Geneva in 1863 and formally recognized in the Geneva Conventions and by International Conferences of the Red Cross, shall be an independent organization having its own Statutes.

It shall be a constituent part of the International Red Cross.<sup>1</sup>

ART. 2. — As an association governed by Articles 60 and following of the Swiss Civil Code, the ICRC shall have legal personality.

ART. 3. — The headquarters of the ICRC shall be in Geneva.

Its emblem shall be a red cross on a white ground. Its motto shall be "Inter arma caritas".

ART. 4. — The special rôle of the ICRC shall be:

- (a) to maintain the fundamental and permanent principles of the Red Cross, namely: impartiality, action independent of any racial, political, religious or economic considerations, the universality of the Red Cross and the equality of the National Red Cross Societies;
- (b) to recognize any newly established or reconstituted National Red Cross Society which fulfils the conditions for recognition in force, and to notify other National Societies of such recognition;

---

<sup>1</sup> The International Red Cross comprises the National Red Cross Societies, the International Committee of the Red Cross and the League of Red Cross Societies. The term "National Red Cross Societies" includes the Red Crescent Societies and the Red Lion and Sun Society.

- (c) to undertake the tasks incumbent on it under the Geneva Conventions, to work for the faithful application of these Conventions and to take cognizance of any complaints regarding alleged breaches of the humanitarian Conventions;
- (d) to take action in its capacity as a neutral institution, especially in case of war, civil war or internal strife; to endeavour to ensure at all times that the military and civilian victims of such conflicts and of their direct results receive protection and assistance, and to serve, in humanitarian matters, as an intermediary between the parties;
- (e) to contribute, in view of such conflicts, to the preparation and development of medical personnel and medical equipment, in co-operation with the Red Cross organizations, the medical services of the armed forces, and other competent authorities;
- (f) to work for the continual improvement of humanitarian international law and for the better understanding and diffusion of the Geneva Conventions and to prepare for their possible extension;
- (g) to accept the mandates entrusted to it by the International Conferences of the Red Cross.

The ICRC may also take any humanitarian initiative which comes within its rôle as a specifically neutral and independent institution and consider any questions requiring examination by such an institution.

ART. 6 (first paragraph). — The ICRC shall co-opt its members from among Swiss citizens. The number of members may not exceed twenty-five.

# THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW<sup>1</sup>

by

*Jean Pictet*

Director-General, International  
Committee of the Red Cross  
Lecturer at Geneva University

In this sixty-page book the writer defines fully and with concision humanitarian law in its widest sense, the laws of war of The Hague and Geneva, and the principles which form the basis for this humanitarian law.

This clear summary is understandable to everybody interested in humanitarian ideas and actions in the world today. In addition, the appendix is a chart of the principles of humanitarian law.

It will be recalled that an earlier work by this author, *The Principles of the Red Cross*, gives the general reader a clear exposition of its subject. Copies of this book, which has already had considerable success, are available in French, English, German and Spanish, from the ICRC Geneva, which published the book.

---

<sup>1</sup> *The Principles of International Humanitarian Law can be obtained from the ICRC, 7 avenue de la Paix, 1211 Geneva (postal cheque account No. 12-5527). Cost Sw.fr. 8.—.*



***H. Ritschard & Cie. S.A.***

**INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT  
TRAVEL AGENCY**

**GENEVA, 49, route des Jeunes**

Telephone 43 76 00 - Teleprinter 22 167

Travel agency: Branch office in Geneva:

18, place Cornavin - Ø 32 34 02

Exchange - Tickets - Sea passages

Insurance - Customs Agency

Road haulage - Storage

*Home delivery of air and rail tickets on request by telephone*

Branches :

**LAUSANNE - ANNEMASSE (France)**

# RHÔNE HOTELS INTERNATIONAL S.A. GENEVA

*anticipate the modern businessman's desires:*

**GENEVA**      **HOTEL DU RHÔNE**      First class  
Tel. 31 98 31 Cables Rhonotel  
Teleprint 22 213

**ANKARA**      **GRAND HOTEL ANKARA**      First class  
(Büyük Ankara Oteli)  
Tel. 17 11 06 Cables Grantel Teleprint 98

*and his family's vacation plans:*

**PORTUGAL**      **HOTEL ALGARVE**      De Luxe  
Open all year round  
Praia da Rocha (Portimão)  
Tel. 1101/12 Cables Algarvotel

SWISS MANAGEMENT: c/o HOTEL DU RHÔNE - GENEVA



toujours  
à l'avant-garde



Organisation Internationale de voyages Wagons-Lits//Cook

1211 Genève	Rue du Mont-Blanc 4	Tél. 31 21 30
1002 Lausanne-Ville	Grand-Chêne 7	Tél. 22 72 12
1001 Lausanne-Gare		Tél. 22 72 18
1820 Montreux	Avenue du Casino 47	Tél. 61 28 63

## ADDRESSES OF CENTRAL COMMITTEES

- AFGHANISTAN — Afghan Red Crescent, *Kabul*.
- ALBANIA — Albanian Red Cross, 35, Rruga Barrikadavet, *Tirana*.
- ALGERIA — Central Committee of the Algerian Red Crescent Society, 15 bis Boulevard Mohamed V, *Algiers*.
- ARGENTINE — Argentine Red Cross, H. Yrigoyen 2068, *Buenos Aires*.
- AUSTRALIA — Australian Red Cross, 122-128 Flinders Street, *Melbourne, C. 1*.
- AUSTRIA — Austrian Red Cross, 3 Gusshausstrasse, Postfach 39, *Vienna IV*.
- BELGIUM — Belgian Red Cross, 98, Chaussée de Vleurgat, *Brussels 5*.
- BOLIVIA — Bolivian Red Cross, Avenida Simon Bolivar, 1515 (Casilla 741), *La Paz*.
- BRAZIL — Brazilian Red Cross, Praça da Cruz Vermelha 10-12, Caixa postal 1286 ZC/00, *Rio de Janeiro*.
- BULGARIA — Bulgarian Red Cross, 1, Boul. S.S. Biruzov, *Sofia*.
- BURMA — Burma Red Cross, 42, Strand Road, Red Cross Building, *Rangoon*.
- BURUNDI — Red Cross Society of Burundi, rue du Marché 3, P.O. Box 1324, *Bujumbura*.
- CAMBODIA — Cambodian Red Cross, 17, Vithei Croix-Rouge, P.O.B. 94, *Phnom-Penh*.
- CAMEROON — Central Committee of the Cameroon Red Cross Society, rue Henry-Dunant, P.O.B. 631, *Yaoundé*.
- CANADA — Canadian Red Cross, 95 Wellesley Street, East, *Toronto 284*, (Ontario).
- CEYLON — Ceylon Red Cross, 106 Dharma-pala Mawatte, *Colombo VII*.
- CHILE — Chilean Red Cross, Avenida Santa Maria 0150, Casilla 246 V., *Santiago de Chile*.
- CHINA — Red Cross Society of China, 22 Kanmien Hutung, *Peking, E*.
- COLOMBIA — Colombian Red Cross, Carrera 7a, 34-65 Apartado nacional 1110, *Bogotá D.E.*
- CONGO — Red Cross of the Congo, 41, Avenue Valcke, P.O. Box 1712, *Kinshasa*.
- COSTA RICA — Costa Rican Red Cross, Calle 5a Apartado 1025, *San José*.
- CUBA — Cuban Red Cross, Ignacio Agramonte, 461, *Havana*.
- CZECHOSLOVAKIA — Czechoslovak Red Cross, Thunovska 18, *Prague I*.
- DAHOMEY — Red Cross Society of Dahomey, P.O. Box 1, *Porto Novo*.
- DENMARK — Danish Red Cross, Ny Vestergade 17, *Copenhagen K*.
- DOMINICAN REPUBLIC — Dominican Red Cross, Calle Galvan 24, Apartado 1293, *Santo Domingo*.
- ECUADOR — Ecuadorean Red Cross, Calle de la Cruz Roja y Avenida Colombia 118, *Quito*.
- ETHIOPIA — Ethiopian Red Cross, Red Cross Road No. 1, P.O. Box 195, *Addis Ababa*.
- FINLAND — Finnish Red Cross, Tehtaankatu 1 A, Box 14168, *Helsinki 14*.
- FRANCE — French Red Cross, 17, rue Quentin-Bauchart, *Paris (8<sup>e</sup>)*.
- GERMANY (Dem. Republic) — German Red Cross in the German Democratic Republic, Kaitzerstrasse 2, *Dresden A. 1*.
- GERMANY (Federal Republic) — German Red Cross in the Federal Republic of Germany, Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 71, 5300 *Bonn 1*, Postfach (D.B.R.).
- GHANA — Ghana Red Cross, P.O. Box 835, *Accra*.
- GREAT BRITAIN — British Red Cross, 9 Grosvenor Crescent, *London, S.W.1*.
- GREECE — Hellenic Red Cross, rue Lycavittou 1, *Athens 135*.
- GUATEMALA — Guatemalan Red Cross, 3.<sup>o</sup> Calle 8-40 zona 1, *Guatemala C.A.*
- GUYANA — Guyana Red Cross, P.O. Box 351, Eve Leary, *Georgetown*.
- HAITI — Haiti Red Cross, Place des Nations Unies, B.P. 1337, *Port-au-Prince*.
- HONDURAS — Honduran Red Cross, Calle Henry Dunant 516, *Tegucigalpa*.
- HUNGARY — Hungarian Red Cross, Arany Janos utca 31, *Budapest V*.
- ICELAND — Icelandic Red Cross, Ølduggötu 4, *Reykjavik*, Post Box 872.
- INDIA — Indian Red Cross, 1 Red Cross Road, *New Delhi 1*.
- INDONESIA — Indonesian Red Cross, Tanah Abang Barat 66, P.O. Box 2009, *Djakarta*.
- IRAN — Iranian Red Lion and Sun Society, Avenue Ark, *Teheran*.
- IRAQ — Iraqi Red Crescent, Al-Mansour, *Baghdad*.
- IRELAND — Irish Red Cross, 16 Merrion Square, *Dublin 2*.
- ITALY — Italian Red Cross, 12, via Toscana, *Rome*.
- IVORY COAST — Ivory Coast Red Cross Society, B.P. 1244, *Abidjan*.
- JAMAICA — Jamaica Red Cross Society, 76 Arnold Road, *Kingston 5*.
- JAPAN — Japanese Red Cross, 5 Shiba Park, Minato-Ku, *Tokyo*.
- JORDAN — Jordan National Red Crescent Society, P.O. Box 10 001, *Amman*.
- KENYA — Kenya Red Cross Society, St Johns Gate, P.O. Box 712, *Nairobi*.
- KOREA (Democratic People's Republic) — Red Cross Society of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, *Pyongyang*.
- KOREA (Republic) — The Republic of Korea National Red Cross, 32-3 Ka Nam San-Donk, *Seoul*.
- KUWAIT — Kuwait Red Crescent Society, P.O. Box 1359, *Kuwait*.
- LAOS — Lao Red Cross, P.B. 650, *Vientiane*.
- LEBANON — Lebanese Red Cross, rue Général Spears, *Beirut*.
- LIBERIA — Liberian National Red Cross, National Headquarters, Corner of Tubman boulevard and 9th Street Sinkor, P.O. Box 226, *Monrovia*.

## ADDRESSES OF CENTRAL COMMITTEES

- LIBYA** — Libyan Red Crescent, Berka Omar Mukhtar Street, P.O. Box 541, *Benghazi*.
- LIECHTENSTEIN** — Liechtenstein Red Cross, *Vaduz*.
- LUXEMBURG** — Luxemburg Red Cross, Parc de la Ville, C.P. 234, *Luxemburg*.
- MADAGASCAR** — Red Cross Society of Madagascar, rue Clemenceau, P.O. Box 1168, *Tananarive*.
- MALAYSIA** — Malaysian Red Cross Society, 519 Jalan Belfield, *Kuala Lumpur*.
- MALI** — Mali Red Cross, B.P. 280, route de Koulikora, *Bamako*.
- MEXICO** — Mexican Red Cross, Avenida Ejército Nacional, n° 1032, *Mexico* 10, D.F.
- MONACO** — Red Cross of Monaco, 27 Boul. de Suisse, *Monte-Carlo*.
- MONGOLIA** — Red Cross Society of the Mongolian People's Republic, Central Post Office, Post Box 537, *Ulan Bator*.
- MOROCCO** — Moroccan Red Crescent, rue Benzakour, B.P. 189, *Rabat*.
- NEPAL** — Nepal Red Cross Society, Tripureswore, P.B. 217, *Kathmandu*.
- NETHERLANDS** — Netherlands Red Cross, 27 Prinsessegracht, *The Hague*.
- NEW ZEALAND** — New Zealand Red Cross, 61 Dixon Street, P.O.B. 6073, *Wellington C.2*.
- NICARAGUA** — Nicaraguan Red Cross, 12 Avenida Noroeste, *Managua*, D.N.
- NIGER** — Red Cross Society of Niger, B.P. 386, *Niamey*.
- NIGERIA** — Nigerian Red Cross Society, Eko Akete Close, off. St. Gregory Rd., Onikan, P.O. Box 764, *Lagos*.
- NORWAY** — Norwegian Red Cross, Parkveien 33b, *Oslo*.
- PAKISTAN** — Pakistan Red Cross, Frere Street, *Karachi 4*.
- PANAMA** — Panamanian Red Cross, Apartado 668, *Panama*.
- PARAGUAY** — Paraguayan Red Cross, calle André Barbero y Artigas 33, *Asunción*.
- PERU** — Peruvian Red Cross, Jiron Chancay 881, *Lima*.
- PHILIPPINES** — Philippine National Red Cross, 860 United Nations Avenue, P.O.B. 280, *Manila*.
- POLAND** — Polish Red Cross, Mokotowska 14, *Warsaw*.
- PORTUGAL** — Portuguese Red Cross, General Secretaryship, Jardim 9 de Abril, 1 a 5, *Lisbon 3*.
- RUMANIA** — Red Cross of the Socialist Republic of Rumania, Strada Biserica Amzei 29, *Bucarest*.
- SALVADOR** — Salvador Red Cross, 3a Avenida Norte y 3a Calle Poniente 21, *San Salvador*.
- SAN MARINO** — San Marino Red Cross, Palais gouvernemental, *San Marino*.
- SAUDI ARABIA** — Saudi Arabian Red Crescent, *Riyadh*.
- SENEGAL** — Senegalese Red Cross Society, Bld. Franklin-Roosevelt, P.O.B. 299, *Dakar*.
- SIERRA LEONE** — Sierra Leone Red Cross Society, 6 Liverpool Street, P.O.B. 427, *Freetown*.
- SOMALI REPUBLIC** — P.O. Box 937, *Mogadiscio*.
- SOUTH AFRICA** — South African Red Cross, Cor. Kruis & Market Streets, P.O.B. 8726, *Johannesburg*.
- SPAIN** — Spanish Red Cross, Eduardo Dato 16, *Madrid*, 10.
- SUDAN** — Sudanese Red Crescent, P.O. Box 235, *Khartoum*.
- SWEDEN** — Swedish Red Cross, Artillerigatan 6, 10440, *Stockholm 14*.
- SWITZERLAND** — Swiss Red Cross, Taubenstrasse 8, B.P. 2699, 3001 *Berne*.
- SYRIA** — Syrian Red Crescent, 13, rue Abi-Ala Almaari, *Damascus*.
- TANZANIA** — Tanzania Red Cross Society, Upanga Road, P.O.B. 1133, *Dar es Salaam*.
- THAILAND** — Thai Red Cross Society, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, *Bangkok*.
- TOGO** — Togolese Red Cross Society, Avenue des Alliés 19, P.O. Box 655, *Lomé*.
- TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO** — Trinidad and Tobago Red Cross Society, 48 Pembroke Street, P.O. Box 357, *Port of Spain*.
- TUNISIA** — Tunisian Red Crescent, 19, rue d'Angleterre, *Tunis*.
- TURKEY** — Turkish Red Crescent, Yenisehir, *Ankara*.
- UGANDA** — Uganda Red Cross, 57 Roseberry Street, P.O. Box 494, *Kampala*.
- UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC** — Red Crescent Society of the United Arab Republic, 34, rue Ramses, *Cairo*.
- UPPER VOLTA** — Upper Volta Red Cross, P.O.B. 340, *Ouagadougou*.
- URUGUAY** — Uruguayan Red Cross, Avenida 8 de Octubre, 2990, *Montevideo*.
- U.S.A.** — American National Red Cross, 17th and D Streets, N.W., *Washington 6 D.C.*
- U.S.S.R.** — Alliance of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, Tcheremushki, J. Tcheremushkinskii proezd 5, *Moscow W-36*.
- VENEZUELA** — Venezuelan Red Cross, Avenida Andrés Bello No. 4, Apart. 3185, *Caracas*.
- VIET NAM (Democratic Republic)** — Red Cross of the Democratic Republic of Viet Nam, 68, rue Bà-Triết, *Hanoi*.
- VIET NAM (Republic)** — Red Cross of the Republic of Viet Nam, 201, duong Hồng-Tháp-Tu, No. 201, *Saigon*.
- YUGOSLAVIA** — Yugoslav Red Cross, Simina ulica broj 19, *Belgrade*.
- ZAMBIA** — Zambia Red Cross, P.O. Box R. W. 1, Ridgeway, *Lusaka*.